Name: _	Pe	eriod:	Peer Editor:
Persona	nal Narrative Self Check -		
1	_1) Does the introduction have an	<u>interesti</u>	ng start?
	_2) Does the introduction hint at that away (does it build suspense)?	ie event'	s significance without giving
3	_3) Is the piece written in chronolo	gical or	der?
experies 4	_4) Are transitional words used to ence?	add smo	oothness to the reading
		ughout? ments? ing comi rammai	errors? (Spelling, etc.)
6	_6) Does the conclusion have any p	lot in it?	It shouldn't.
of the ev	_7) Does the conclusion clearly exp event?	lain the	significance and importance
	_8) Make sure your writing "show ces that "tell" to "showing."	s" and d	oesn't "tell." Change any
	_9) Replace 3 or 4 words with synoticated, or specific.	onyms th	at are more descriptive,
1	_10) If your piece has dialog, is it f	ormatte	d correctly?
1	_11) If your piece has internal mor	olog, is	it formatted correctly?
	_12) Highlight each "I" in your sto If so, substitute a better structure	•	e you included it too many

Name:	:P	eriod:	Peer Editor:			
<u>Perso</u>	onal Narrative Peer Check –					
1.	Read the entire piece, marking any spelling and grammar errors.					
2.	This piece is interesting enough to through.	nake peopl	e want to read all the way			
	Agree Disagree					
	Notes:					
3.	ghts, feelings, and emotions.					
	Agree Disagree					
	Notes:					
4.	This piece effectively uses sensory details to help the reader visualize everything going on.					
	Agree Disagree					
	Notes:					
5.	Best parts of this piece:					
6.	Areas needing work:					